

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/684,361 | 10/06/2000 | Alexander Gaiger | 210121.465C2 | 9832 | |
| 500 7 | 590 09/23/2002 | | | | |
| SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | SCHWADRON, RONALD B | | |
| SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1644 | 11 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002 | 17 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/684,361

Gaiger et al.

Examiner

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1644

| 1 44 1 | |
|--------|--|
| | |

| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sh | eet with | the correspondence address | | | |
|--|--|---|----------|---|--|--|--|
| | for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | | | |
| | Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. | | | | | | |
| - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Amy re | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and will expire SIX (6) he application to become | MONTHS - | from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 🗆 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | · | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This ac | | | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | tion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) 1, 6, 7, and 46-60 | | | is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4 | a) Of the above, claim(s) 46 and 55 | | | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | | | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) 🗶 | Claims 1, 6, 7, 47-54, and 56-60 | are | subject | t to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Applica | tion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) 🗆 accepted | d or b) | \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | drawing(s) be hel | d in abe | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | is: | a) 🗌 a | approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply | to this Office act | ion. | 1 | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam | iner. | | | | | |
| | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| _ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p | riority under 35 | U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a) | a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| | 2. U Certified copies of the priority documents hav | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| _ | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summery (PTO-413) Pener Note) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | t Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | | |

Serial No. 09/684361

Art Unit 1644

1. Applicant's election of the Group I and the species SEQ ID NO:144 in Paper No. 13 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)

2

- 2. Claims 10-45,5,8,9,46,55, are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention or species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 13.
- 3. Claims 1,6,7,47-54,56-60 are under consideration. Claims 2-5,8-45 have been canceled. Claims 1,6,7 have been amended.
- 4. The amendment filed 6/25/2002 has necessitated the following species election.
- 5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

The composition of claim 50/59 which contains any one of the particular immune response enhancers recited in said claim. If applicant elects cytokine, then applicant is required to elect a particular cytokine recited in claim 51/60.

The aforementioned molecules are chemically distinct and have different functional properties.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election,

applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 8. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 at (703) 308-4242.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Dr. Ron Schwadron whose telephone number is (703) 308-4680. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00. A message may be left on the examiners voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Ms Christina Chan can be reached on (703) 308-3974. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

4

Serial No. 09/684361 Art Unit 1644

> RONALD 3. SCHWADACH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1860 LOW

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644